Review on Removal of Ionic Impurities (Arsenic, Iron, Fluorides and Nitrates) Using Electrodialysis

Syed Faizan Mushtaq¹, Midhat Yassin Ganaie² and Fasil Qayoom Mir³

¹Department of Chemical Engineering, National Institute of Technology Srinagar, Hazratbal, Srinagar, J&K-190006, India ²Department of Chemical Engineering, National Institute of Technology Srinagar, Hazratbal, Srinagar, J&K-190006, India ³Department of Chemical Engineering, National Institute of Technology Srinagar, Hazratbal, Srinagar, J&K-190006, India *E-mail:* ¹fzn136@gmail.com, ²yassinmidhat07@gmail.com, ³mirfasil@nitsri.net

Abstract—One of the major problems in the 21^{ist} Centaury is that of water scarcity. With only less than 2% of total water available on earth's surface as fresh water and the rate at which population is growing, the amount of available freshwater won't suffice for the demand of potable water in near future. Thus, it is becoming a challenge for scientists and engineers to develop technologies which can solve the problem of water scarcity. Electrodialysis has proved to be an effective technique to remove the ionic impurities from the water with more than 90% removal. The concentration of the ionic impurities can be brought down below the permissible limit as recommended by the WHO by adjusting the operating parameters like pH, flow rate, initial concentration, voltage, time and by using an appropriate selective membrane. Unlike other techniques, electrodialysis is simple to use, has fewer pretreatment costs, doesn't use any chemicals, has a high purity of the product, is robust in nature and doesn't formany sludge at the end of the process and hence is also environment-friendly. This short review focuses on how electrodialysis is emerging out as a solution to meet potable water demand in future and presents the experimental work of various researchers on the recovery of potable water from the contaminated water containing toxic elements such as iron, fluoride, arsenic and nitrates using electrodialysis.

Keywords: *Electrodialysis, potable water, membranes, arsenic, iron, nitrates, fluoride.*

1. INTRODUCTION

Electrodialysis is one of the separation techniques for removal of ionic impurities from an electrolytic solution. In electrodialysis, we have an array of Ion exchange membranes namely cation exchange membranes(CEM) and anion exchange membranes(AEM) placed in alternate fashion between two electrodes: cathode (negatively charged electrode) and anode (positively chargedelectrode). These Ion Exchange Membranes acts as a barrier and allow only selective ions to pass. The driving force for migration of ions in electrodialysis is electric field or electric potential. When an electric field is applied between two electrodes, the positively charged ions (cations) start migrating towards cathode through cation exchange membranes and are blocked by anion exchange membranes. Similarly, negatively charged ions (anions) migrate towards anode through anion exchange membranes and are blocked by cation exchange membranes. Consequently, ionic migration and selective permeability of membranes give rise to alternate ions rich and solvent rich compartments called concentrate and diluate, respectively. Schematic diagram has been shown in the Fig.1 [1].



Figure 1: Schematic Diagram of electrodialysis Ref(1)

This technique is applied to remove various ionic impurities from the water to solve the problem of water scarcity which is one of the major problems that humankind is facing in the 21^{ist} century. Population explosion around the world has drastically increased the demand for drinking water which has resulted in water shortage in most parts of the world, especially in arid and semi-arid regions [2]. By the year 2030, it is projected that the global needs of water would increase to 6900 billion m³ from the current 4500 billion m³[3]. So, about a 53% increase in the amount of drinking water, by the year 2030. To summarize, the present water resources will be unable to meet the drinking water demand in the future[4]. Thus there is a paramount need to harness the maximum possible potential of the membrane technologies to meet the ever-increasing drinking water demand. Various treatment methods have been used based on adsorption[5-7], ion-exchange [8-10], precipitation[11], electrocoagulation, oxidation filtration,

bioremediation[12] and membrane processes such as nanofiltration, reverse osmosis[13] and electrodialysis have been employed for the purpose of contaminants removal. High initial cost, lack of selectivity, low capacity, formation of slug and complicated or expensive regeneration are some of the drawbacks of most of these methods. Electrodialysis and reverse osmosis are the only two membrane technologies that have reached the practical application. These two processes are employed for the removal of salt from brackish water and hence are called desalination processes[14-15]. RO (Reverse Osmosis) and ED (Electrodialysis) are used in the integrated system to produce more water than in individual processes with a low amount in rejected water which is also low in salinity[4]. The main advantage of ED over other separation processes is that it doesn't use any chemicals for operation[16].

2. ELECTRODIALYSIS FOR THEREMOVAL OF ARSENIC CONTAMINANTS FROM DRINKING WATER

Arsenic is an abundant element found in earth's crust and in the sea water. It mainly occurs in organic and inorganic forms and asmetalloid. In groundwater, it occurs in two oxidation states and these are As(III)[H₃AsO₃, H₂AsO⁻³, HAsO₂⁻³] and As(V)[H₃AsO⁴, H₂AsO⁻⁴, HAsO₂⁻⁴ and AsO₃⁻⁴][17]. Inorganic arsenic species are more toxic than organic ones[18] and arseniteAs(III) is about 60 times more toxic than arsenate As(V)[19]. Arsenic, if present in drinking water, can cause stomach pain, diarrhoea, vomiting, partial paralysis and blindness [20]. It is also responsible for causing various types of cancers [21-22]. The maximum allowable arsenic concentration in the drinking water as recommended by the WHO is 0.01 ppm[23]. According to Singh et al. [24], the natural contamination of groundwater with Arsenic has reached up to 5 ppm and at different places in the world, it is 48 ppm by the human sources.

Electrodialysis is emerging as a major technique for the removal of arsenic from the groundwater. Ribeiro et al. [25] used electrodialysis for the removal of arsenic from sawdust in a batch set-up. They report 99% removal of arsenic contaminants from the water by this technique. The ED cell used contained three compartments having side compartments as anode and cathode, respectively, and the middle compartment was filled with sawdust. Sawdust and electrode compartments were separated by the ion exchange membranes having anion exchange membrane on the anode side and the cation exchange membrane on the cathode side, respectively. In the electrode compartments, 10⁻² M solution NaNO₃ was used as the electrolyte. The electrodes used were platinized titanium bars. The current used was 0.2 mA/cm² and the time duration was 30 days. The authors[25]extracted 26.7, 98.7, 96.6 and 92.2% arsenic from sawdust by using distilled water, 2.5% oxalic acid, 5.0% oxalic acid and 7.5% oxalic acid, respectively. Another author [26] reports the removal of arsenic down to 0.01 ppm which is the WHO[23]

recommended concentration but uses resins in integration with the ionic membrane for the separation.

3. ELECTRODIALYSIS FOR THEREMOVAL OF NITRATES FROM DRINKING WATER

High nitrate concentration in the drinking water sources is a public health concern. The increasing concentration is caused by the industrial and agricultural wastes and due to the large utilization of artificial nitrogenous fertilizers. This contamination has caused the shutdown of wells and rendered many aquifers unusable. The permissible level of nitrates in the drinking water as suggested by the WHO[27] is 50 ppm. In some regions of the world, the contaminations have been reported as exceeding 250 ppm[28, 29]. High nitrate contamination can be fatal to the infants under 6 months of age. When the nitrate rich water is consumed by infants, NO_3^{-1} is reduced to NO2⁻ in their bodies which combines with haemoglobin in the blood to form methemoglobin, and leads to a condition commonly known as "blue baby syndrome"[27-30].

The electrodialysis process is more simple to conducive in comparison with the conventional denitrification processes such as biological denitration, chemical denitration and catalytic denitration [30]. Economically, the operating cost of ED is not high. El Midaoui et al. [31] have reported the removal of nitrates and other ions using electrodialysis. The electrodialysis stack was equipped with a Neosepta anion exchange membrane(ACS) andNeosepta cation exchange membrane(CMX) manufactured by Tokuyama Co and the batch set-up was employed. The electrodialysis was carried out with a nitrate selective membrane to avoid demineralisation which is not desired. The electrode compartments were separated from the others to prevent any change in the composition of the concentration sides by possible electrode reactions. The polarity and the flow were reversed, periodically, to prevent any fouling and scaling. The concentration of each ion was determined analytically. Increase in the removal rate was observed with increase in the temperature due to the increase in the ion mobility and dilation of membrane network which promotes the membrane swelling and diffusion of ions into the membrane. For all temperatures the different anions are removed in the following order NO_3^{-} > $Cl^{-} > HCO_{3} > SO_{4}^{2}$. Sulphate removal kinetics were found to be very slow at lower temperatures. At 15°C and after 10 min only 20% of sulphate was removed which demonstrated the controlled transport of bivalent ions at lower temperatures. It was also observed that removal rates of NO₃⁻ and Cl⁻ increase rapidly with time at various voltages. Bicarbonate and sulphate removal rate was slow at lower voltage (5V) and increased rapidly at higher voltage (15V). Another research[32] selected the best anionic membrane for the removal of nitrate among five types of cation exchange membrane used. The current density used for the selection process was 10 mA/cm² and evolution of three parameters i.e., specific ion rejection, overall rejection and voltage on both ends of the stack, was followed. The criteria for the selection of the best membrane corresponded to the minimum variation of the TDS, maximum nitrate removal and the minimum energy cost. The best results were obtained with the ACS membrane. Sulphate rejection and the overall rejection was found to be lower and the nitrate rejection was higher. The value of the various electrodialysis parameters were: temperature 20°C, voltage 15V, flow rate 180 l/h. After 10 min electrodialysis, it was found, 90.7% of NO₃⁻, 88.5% of Cl⁻, 48.3% of HCO₃⁻ and only 7.5% of SO₄⁻² was removed. The nitrate content obtained in the output water was under the recommended level[23].

4. ELECTRODIALYSIS FOR THE REMOVAL OF IRON FROM DRINKING WATER

Iron is one of the most abundant metals on the earth. It occurs naturally in fresh water as well as groundwater in various forms such as Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} , along with the complex forms. Iron is an essential element in human nutrition and daily requirements of iron depend on sex, age, physiological status and iron bioavailability [23]. Excess of iron in drinking water gives rise to different aesthetic problems like taste, discolouration, turbidity, staining of laundry, odour, clogging etc., [12]. Iron also promotes the growth of various chlorine tolerant microorganisms which increases the costofcleaning and sterilization and pose a health problem to humans[33]. As per WHO guidelines permissible concentration of iron ingroundwater is 0.3 ppm and a concentration range of 1-3 ppm is acceptable for the people taking anaerobic well water [23]. High concentration of iron in the human body cause serious problems like damage to the liver, heart and endocrine glands[34]. Consequently, groundwater needs the to be properly treated for the removal of iron from it before using it domestically. Various researches have been carried out onthe removal of iron using electrodialysis.Chekioua, A. &Delimi, R[35]successfully separated iron from pickling bath of sulphuric acid with a percentage removal of 70.17% using electrodialysis. They treated the contaminated sulphuric acid solution in a four compartment ED cell using a Neosepta anion exchange membrane (AMX) and CMX membranes to separate iron(II) from solution. The test solution was introduced in compartment while anodic and cathodic compartments were filled with 0.1N H₂SO₄ and 0.1N HNO₃ solutions, respectively. Their studies showed that iron removal increased with increasing current density from 1 mA/cm² to 20 mA/cm² then decreased by further increase in the current density. Initial concentration has a positive effect on iron removal and 70.17% iron removal was observed at 52000 ppm of initial concentration. Among the different membranes tested namelySelemion cation exchange membrane (CMV), Nafion 117 and CMX, CMX proved to be the most effective. Mourad Ben Sik Ali [36] concluded that iron can be removed from brackish water using electrodialysis under various process parameters (pH, flow rate, initial concentration, ionic strength, applied voltage). They studied the removal of iron from synthetic brackish water using six compartment electrodialysis cell consisting of Pt/Ir coated titanium electrodes and three cation exchange membranes (PCA-SK) and two anion exchange membranes (PCA-SA). Spacers were introduced between membranes to reduce boundary layer effects. A solution of Sodium chloride and iron chloride was used as a test solution and sodium sulphate solution was used as electrode solution to prevent chlorine effect on electrodes. Their study showed the effect of various parameters (applied voltage, pH, initial concentration, ionic strength, flow rate) on demineralization rate, iron removal rate, current efficiency and transport flux [36].Cifuentes, L. et al. [37] showed the effectiveness of electrodialysis in the removal of iron from solutions analogous to those found in Copper electrowinning operations for water recovery $(CuSO_4.5H_2O)$ and FeSO₄.7H₂O). They conducted the experiment on five compartment electrodialysis cell consisting of 304 stainless steel cathode and lead anode. Two cation exchange membranes (MC3470) and two anion exchange membranes (MA3475) were used in the experiment. The test solution (CuSO₄.5H₂O and FeSO₄.7H₂O) was introduced in the middle compartment, cathode and anode compartments were filled with sulphuric acid of concentration 54000ppm. The effect of cell current density, recirculation flow rate and time were studied by means of 4hr and 24hr runs. Their results showed that iron removal increased with increasing current density and in going from laminar to turbulent flow regime. They also showed that 99.5% removal of iron was achievable for the 24hr run test with specific power consumption of 1 kW/kg of water. Thus, ED proved to be an effective way of water recovery from acidic electrolytes containing Cu and Fe.

5. ELECTRODIALYSIS FOR THE REMOVAL OF FLUORINE FROM DRINKING WATER

Fluorides in drinking water as a result of human activities and natural processes is a major health concern worldwide. Consequently, removal of fluorides from water is an urgent necessity. The permissible limit of fluoride ion concentration, according to WHO[23], in drinking water is 1.5 ppm. High concentration of fluorine in drinking water causes fluorosis and bone lesions. Concentration in the range of 1.5-4 ppm is responsible for cancer, liver deterioration and Alzheimer disease. Intake of 3-5 g sodium fluoride is fatal for adults [38-39]. On the other hand, shortage of fluorine may result in dental caries and osteoporosis[38].

Electrodialysis is a relatively simple technique with fewer disadvantages compared to conventional methods for the fluoride removal present in the water. Ergun et al. [40] used anion exchange membrane from Gelman Sciences (SB-6407) to study the fluoride removal via electrodialysis. They studied the effect of current density on the removal efficiency and found that the increase of current density increased the amount of fluoride separated. They had taken the sample with initial fluoride content equal to 20.6 ppm and were successful in bringing it down to 0.8 ppm which is lower than permissible

level as recommended by the WHO. Researchers[41]used two commercial membranes, ACS and Neosepta anion exchange membrane(AXE 01), and he found that ACS membranes furnished the better results. The fluoride content was brought down to 0.5 ppm from 1.8 ppm and TDS decreased from 1127 ppm to 656 ppm. Keri et al. [42] studied the effect of various applied potential, initial parameters (pH, fluoride concentration, and flow rate) on the fluoride removal by ED. Results obtained were sufficient for the fluoride concentration lower than 10 ppm with removal efficiency equal to 99.6% and the final fluoride concentration in the water lower than 1 ppm. For the experiments performed with fluoride concentrations higher than 10 ppm, the results were moderate with the removal of 95.95% fluorides for 20 ppm and 79.22% for 50 ppm. The final diluates, however, did not fulfil the WHO standards. Another author[43] performed the research on ED for fluoride removal using anion membranes from Asahi Glass Co. (AMP) and photopolymer membranes MZA. The AMP membranes removed 97% and 69% of fluoride ions by using the current density of 7mA/cm² and 1 mA/cm², respectively. MZA removed only 40% of fluoride ions when the current density had the same values.

6. CONCLUSION

Electrodialysis has proved to be an effective technique for the removal of iron, fluoride, arsenic, nitrates (with more than 90% removal) to make potable water. By using appropriate selective membranes and adjusting operating parameters (pH, flow rate, initial concentration, voltage, time) potable water can be recovered which has the concentration of abovementioned elements under permissible limit as prescribed by WHO. Unlike other techniques, electrodialysis is simple to use, has fewer pretreatment costs, doesn't use any chemicals, has high purity of product and is robust in nature. Also, electrodialysis doesn't form any sludge material at the end of the process which may, in turn, harm the environment unlike other separation techniques such as bio-separation, nanofiltration, flocculation etc. However, electrodialysis has not been yet commercialized by industries for making potable water because of high initial costs & more energy consumption.

REFERENCES

- Marcel Muler, Basics Principles of Membrane Science and Technology, The Netherlands, K.Iuwer Academic Publishers (1996).
- [2] Shannon, M.A., Bohn, P.W., Elimelech, M., Georgiadis, J.G., Marinas, B.J. and Mayes, A.M, "Science and Technology for Water Purification in the Coming Decades" Nature452 (2008): 301-310.
- [3]Misdan, Nurasyikin, W. J. Lau, and A. F. Ismail., "Seawater Reverse Osmosis (SWRO) desalination by thin-film composite membrane—Current development, challenges and future prospects." Desalination 287 (2012): 228-237.

- [4] Abdel-Aal, E. A., et al., "Desalination of Red Sea water using both electrodialysis and reverse osmosis as complementary methods." Egyptian Journal of Petroleum 24.1 (2015): 71-75.
- [5] Yang, Chen-Lu, and Robert Dluhy., "Electrochemical generation of aluminum sorbent for fluoride adsorption."Journal of hazardous materials 94.3 (2002): 239-252.
- [6] Ghorai, Subhashini, and K. K. Pant., "Investigations on the column performance of fluoride adsorption by activated alumina in a fixed-bed." Chemical Engineering Journal 98.1-2 (2004): 165-173.
- [7] Zhou, Yuming, Chunxiang Yu, and Yun Shan., "Adsorption of fluoride from aqueous solution on La3+-impregnated crosslinked gelatin."Separation and Purification Technology 36.2 (2004): 89-94.
- [8] Popat, K. M., P. S. Anand, and B. D. Dasare., "Selective removal of fluoride ions from water by the aluminium form of the aminomethylphosphonic acid-type ion exchanger." Reactive polymers 23.1 (1994): 23-32
- [9] Kabay, Nalan, and Hiroshi Kodama., "Ion exchange properties of BiO (NO3) 0.5 H2O towards fluoride ions." Solvent Extraction and Ion Exchange 18.3 (2000): 583-603.
- [10] Kodama, Hiroshi, and NalanKabay., "Reactivity of inorganic anion exchanger BiPbO2 (NO3) with fluoride ions in solution." Solid State Ionics 141 (2001): 603-607.
- [11] N. Mameri, A.R. Yeddou, H. Lounici, D. Belhocine, H. Grib, B. Bariou, "De fluorination of septentrional Sahara water of North Africa by electro coagulation process using bipolar aluminum electrodes", Water Resources 32 (5) (1998): 1604–1612.
- [12] S. Chaturvedi, P.N. Dave, "Removal of iron for safe drinking water", Desalination 303 (2012): 1-11.
- [13] B.E. Smith, "Desalting and groundwater management in the San Joaquin valley", Desalination 87 (1992): 151–174.
- [14] D. Clifford, S. Subramonian, T.J. Sorg, "Water treatment processes. III. Removing dissolved inorganic contaminants from water", Environmental Science and Technology 20 (11) (1986): 1072–1080.
- [15] Kabay, N., Arar, Ö., Samatya, S., Yüksel, Ü., &Yüksel, M, "Separation of fluoride from aqueous solution by electrodialysis: Effect of process parameters and other ionic species". Journal of Hazardous Materials153(1-2)(2008): 107–113.
- [16] M. Zeni, R. Riveros, K. Melo, R. Primieri, S. Lorenzini, "Study on fluoride reduction in artesian well-water from electrodialysis process". Desalination 185 (2005):241–244.
- [17] Imran Ali, Tabrez A. Khan & Mohd. Asim., "Removal of Arsenic from Water by Electrocoagulation and Electrodialysis Techniques". Separation & Purification Reviews 40.1 (2011): 25-42.
- [18] Smedley, Pauline L., and D. G. Kinniburgh. "A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in natural waters." Applied geochemistry 17.5 (2002): 517-568.
- [19] Hung, Dang Q., Olga Nekrassova, and Richard G. Compton. "Analytical methods for inorganic arsenic in water: a review." Talanta 64.2 (2004): 269-277.
- [20] Bao, Lingzhi, and Honglian Shi. "Potential molecular mechanisms for combined toxicity of arsenic and alcohol." Journal of inorganic biochemistry 104.12 (2010): 1229-1233
- [21] Frankenberger Jr., W.T (Ed.) , Environmental Chemistry of Arsenic, Marcel Dekker Inc(2006).: New York.
- [22] Cornelis, Rita. "Imran Ali, Hassan Y. Aboul-Enein: Instrumental methods in metal ion speciation." Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry 388.4 (2007): 869-870.

- [23] Water, Sanitation, and World Health Organization. "Guidelines for drinking-water quality. Vol. 1, Recommendations." (2004).
- [24] Singh, Rachana, et al. "Arsenic contamination, consequences and remediation techniques: a review." Ecotoxicology and environmental safety 112 (2015): 247-270.
- [25] Ribeiro, Alexandra B., et al. "Electrodialytic removal of Cu, Cr, and As from chromated copper arsenate-treated timber waste." Environmental science & technology 34.5 (2000): 784-788.
- [26] Ortega, Arturo, et al. "Arsenic removal from water by hybrid electro-regenerated anion exchange resin/electrodialysis process." Separation and Purification Technology 184 (2017): 319-326.
- [27]World Health Organization. Environmental Health Criteria 5. Nitrates, nitrites and N-nitroso compounds. United Nations Environment Programme/WHO, Geneva, Switzerland., 1978.
- [28] A. Elacheb, L. Bahi 'les nitrates dansleseauxsouterraines de Doukkala (Maroc)' Rencontre InternationalesurlesFluorures Nitrates et les Pesticides dansleseaux du bassinMediteraneen. Proble`mes et traitements 24–25 Avril 97, Kenitra, Morocco.
- [29] L. Berrada, T Chefadi 'Etat de la qualite' des ressourceseneauxauMaroc et pollution par les nitrates'. Rencontre Internationalesurlesfluorures, Nitrates et Pesticides dansleseaux du BassinMediteraneen: proble`mes et traitements 24–25 Avril 97 Kenitra, Morocco
- [30] Kapoor, Anoop, and T. Viraraghavan. "Nitrate removal from drinking water." Journal of environmental engineering 123.4 (1997): 371-380.
- [31] El Midaoui, Azzeddine, et al. "Optimization of nitrate removal operation from ground water by electrodialysis." Separation and purification technology 29.3 (2002): 235-244.
- [32] Elmidaoui, A., et al. "Pollution of nitrate in Moroccan ground water: removal by electrodialysis." Desalination 136.1-3 (2001): 325-332.
- [33] Tekerlekopoulou, A. G., I. A. Vasiliadou, and D. V. Vayenas. "Physico-chemical and biological iron removal from potable water." Biochemical Engineering Journal 31.1 (2006): 74-83.

- [34] Rachna, Bhatti, KanwarPriya, and Sharma Vinod. "Iron contaminants in ground water at Kashmir valley of Jammu and Kashmir, India." Journal of Environmental Research and Development 8.2 (2013): 261.
- [35] Chekioua, Abla, and RachidDelimi. "Purification of H2SO4 of pickling bath contaminated by Fe (II) ions using electrodialysis process." Energy Procedia 74 (2015): 1418-1433.
- [36] Ben Sik Ali, Mourad, DorraJellouliEnnigrou, and BéchirHamrouni. "Iron removal from brackish water by electrodialysis." Environmental technology 34.17 (2013): 2521-2529.
- [37] Cifuentes, L., et al. "The use of electrodialysis for metal separation and water recovery from CuSO4–H2SO4–Fe solutions." Separation and Purification Technology 68.1 (2009): 105-108.
- [38] Ozsvath, David L. "Fluoride and environmental health: a review." Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology 8.1 (2009): 59-79.
- [39] Ghosh, Aniruddha, et al. "Sources and toxicity of fluoride in the environment." Research on Chemical Intermediates 39.7 (2013): 2881-2915.
- [40] Ergun, Erdem, et al. "Electrodialytic removal of fluoride from water: Effects of process parameters and accompanying anions." Separation and Purification Technology 64.2 (2008): 147-153.
- [41] Tahaikt, M., et al. "Defluoridation of Moroccan groundwater by electrodialysis: continuous operation." Desalination 189.1-3 (2006): 215-220.
- [42] Keri, Rangappa S., et al. "Application of the electrodialytic pilot plant for fluoride removal." Journal of Water Chemistry and Technology 33.5 (2011): 293-300.
- [43] Zeni, M., et al. "Study on fluoride reduction in artesian well water from electrodialysis process." Desalination 185.1-3 (2005): 241-244.